



FAI Sporting Code

Section 6

*Fédération
Aéronautique
Internationale*

Regulations for the Conduct of International Aerobatic Events

Part 5a

World Air Masters (WAM) Events

*Maison du Sport
International
Av. de Rhodanie 54
CH-1007 - Lausanne
Switzerland*

*Tel : +41 21 345 1070
Fax : +41 21 345 1077
Email: sec@fai.org
Web: www.fai.org*

Version 2017 – 1.1



FÉDÉRATION AÉRONAUTIQUE INTERNATIONALE
Maison du Sport International, Av de Rhodanie 54 – CH-1007 LAUSANNE Switzerland

FEDERATION AERONAUTIQUE INTERNATIONALE
MSI - Avenue de Rhodanie 54 – CH-1007 Lausanne – Switzerland

Copyright 2017

All rights reserved. Copyright in this document is owned by the Fédération Aéronautique Internationale (FAI). Any person acting on behalf of the FAI or one of its Members is hereby authorised to copy, print, and distribute this document, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The document may be used for information only and may not be exploited for commercial purposes.**
- 2. Any copy of this document or portion thereof must include this copyright notice.**
- 3. Regulations applicable to air law, air traffic and control in the respective countries are reserved in any event. They must be observed and, where applicable, take precedence over any sport regulations**

Note that any product, process or technology described in the document may be the subject of other Intellectual Property rights reserved by the Fédération Aéronautique Internationale or other entities and is not licensed hereunder.



RIGHTS TO FAI INTERNATIONAL SPORTING EVENTS

All international sporting events organised wholly or partly under the rules of the Fédération Aéronautique Internationale (FAI) Sporting Code are termed FAI International Sporting Events . Under the FAI Statutes , FAI owns and controls all rights relating to FAI International Sporting Events. FAI Members shall, within their national territories , enforce FAI ownership of FAI International Sporting Events and require them to be registered in the FAI Sporting Calendar .

An event organiser who wishes to exploit rights to any commercial activity at such events shall seek prior agreement with FAI. The rights owned by FAI which may, by agreement, be transferred to event organisers include, but are not limited to advertising at or for FAI events, use of the event name or logo for merchandising purposes and use of any sound, image, program and/or data, whether recorded electronically or otherwise or transmitted in real time. This includes specifically all rights to the use of any material, electronic or other, including software, that forms part of any method or system for judging, scoring, performance evaluation or information utilised in any FAI International Sporting Event .

Each FAI Air Sport Commission may negotiate agreements, with FAI Members or other entities authorised by the appropriate FAI Member, for the transfer of all or parts of the rights to any FAI International Sporting Event (except World Air Games events) in the discipline , for which it is responsible or waive the rights. Any such agreement or waiver, after approval by the appropriate Air Sport Commission President, shall be signed by FAI Officers .

Any person or legal entity that accepts responsibility for organising an FAI Sporting Event, whether or not by written agreement, in doing so also accepts the proprietary rights of FAI as stated above. Where no transfer of rights has been agreed in writing, FAI shall retain all rights to the event. Regardless of any agreement or transfer of rights, FAI shall have, free of charge for its own archival and/or promotional use, full access to any sound and/or visual images of any FAI Sporting Event. The FAI also reserves the right to arrange at its own expense for any and all parts of any event to be recorded.

-
- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 1, para. 1.6 |
| 2. FAI Sporting Code, Gen. Section, | Chapter 4, para 4.1.2 |
| 3. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 1, para 1.8.1 |
| 4. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 2, para 2.1.1; 2.4.2; 2.5.2 and 2.7.2 |
| 5. FAI By-Laws, | Chapter 1, para 1.2.1 |
| 6. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 2, para 2.4.2.2.5 |
| 7. FAI By-Laws, | Chapter 1, paras 1.2.2 to 1.2.5 |
| 8. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 5, paras 5.1.1, 5.2, 5.2.3 and 5..2.3.3 |
| 9. FAI Sporting Code, Gen. Section, | Chapter 4, para 4.1.5 |
| 10. FAI Sporting Code, Gen. Section, | Chapter 2, para 2.2. |
| 11. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 5, para 5.2.3.3.7 |
| 12. FAI Statutes, | Chapter 6, para 6.1.2.1.3 |



FÉDÉRATION AÉRONAUTIQUE INTERNATIONALE
Maison du Sport International, Av de Rhodanie 54 – CH-1007 LAUSANNE Switzerland

Deliberately Blank



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL RULES FOR WORLD AIR MASTERS (WAM) EVENTS.....	1
1.1	Aims Of World Air Masters Events	1
1.1.1	The key objectives at WAM events are:	1
1.2	General Regulations.....	1
1.2.1	World Air Masters Events	1
1.2.2	Aircraft Categories.....	1
1.2.3	Number of Competitors.....	1
1.2.4	Entries.....	1
1.2.5	Competitors and aircraft	1
1.2.6	Aircraft Documentation	2
1.2.7	Air Safety	2
1.2.8	Insurance	2
1.3	Classification of Programmes	2
1.3.1	Programme Formats.....	2
1.3.2	Winners and Awards	3
1.4	Contest Bodies	3
1.4.1	The International Jury.....	3
1.4.2	Protests.....	5
1.4.3	Board of Judges	5
1.4.4	Contest Management	6
1.5	Final Regulations.....	6
1.5.1	Interpretation	6
1.5.2	Competitors' Pledge	7
2	JUDGING RULES FOR WAM EVENTS	8
2.1	Control of Timing.....	8
2.1.1	Responsibilities of the Chief Judge	8
2.2	Deadline Judging.....	8
2.2.1	Deployment of Deadline Judge(s).....	8
2.3	Judging Administration.....	8
2.3.1	Collection of Marking Sheets	8
2.3.2	Publication of Results	8
2.3.3	Public Announcements	9
2.3.4	Protests, Decisions of International Jury, Confidentiality.....	9
2.4	Evaluation of the Performance	9
2.4.1	Judges	9
2.4.2	Marks awarded.....	9
2.4.3	Calculation of Scores.....	9
2.4.4	Video Recording.....	9
2.5	The Penalty Point and Devaluation System	10
2.5.1	Infringements of Height Limits.....	10
2.5.2	Infringements of the Deadline	10
2.5.3	Programme Interruptions	10
2.5.4	Violation of Flight Regulations and Dangerous Flying.....	11
2.6	Rules for the Marking of Figures	11
2.6.1	The mark for each figure.....	11
2.6.2	Downgrades	12
2.6.3	The mark of Zero.....	12
2.7	Code of Practice for The Chief Judge and Board Of Judges at WAM events.....	12
2.7.1	The Chief Judge	12
2.7.2	The Judges	13



3	THE ORGANISATION OF WAM EVENTS.....	14
3.1	Administrative Arrangements.....	14
3.1.1	Accommodation, Food, Medical Services.....	14
3.1.2	Technical Services	14
3.1.3	Interpreters.....	14
3.1.4	Briefings.....	14
3.1.5	Training Flights.....	15
3.1.6	Timing of Programme 1 training flights.....	15
3.1.7	Sequence of Flights (Drawing of Lots)	15
3.2	Operating Regulations	15
3.2.1	Video/Audio Devices.....	15
3.2.2	Meteorological Conditions.....	16
3.2.3	Competition Flights.....	17
3.2.4	Height Infringements.....	17
3.2.5	Performance Zone.....	17
3.3	Programmes for WAM events	17
3.3.2	Known Programme 1	18
3.3.3	Unknown Programme 2	18
3.3.4	Design of Programmes 1 and 2	18
3.3.5	Freestyle Programme 3	19
3.3.6	Grading of the key areas.....	20
4	APPENDIX A	21
a.	Figure design for WAM sequences.....	21
i)	Rolling Elements on Known and Unknown Sequence Figures.....	21
b.	Judging Criteria for Known and Unknown Programme Figures	21
i)	General Observations.....	21
ii)	Exceptions from standard CIVA classic Judging Criteria	21
	RECORD OF AMENDMENTS.....	22



1 GENERAL RULES FOR WORLD AIR MASTERS (WAM) EVENTS

1.1 Aims Of World Air Masters Events

1.1.1 The key objectives at WAM events are:

- a) To establish the Overall World Air Masters winners in Classic and Freestyle divisions.
- b) To promote and popularise aerobatics and to develop and foster friendly relations between aerobatic pilots of different countries.
- c) In pursuance of these aims and in case of dispute over the interpretation and application of these rules, and any other regulations for the conduct of aerobatic competitions, a competitor shall be entitled to the benefit of reasonable doubt.

1.2 General Regulations

1.2.1 World Air Masters Events

1.2.1.1 WACL and CIVA will work together to achieve the following:

- a) WAM events will be held on multiple occasions each year as arranged by WACL. The duration of WAM events is expected to be 5 days, including two full practice / training days and three days of competition.
- b) The organisation and control of WAM events will be shared between WACL and CIVA officials, as generally defined in their joint agreement of June 2016.
- c) WACL will provide conditions for entry and participation for any selected pilots, and will carry out the WAM event on the basis of the decisions and rules of WACL/FAI/CIVA.
- d) The working language of WAM events both orally and in writing for all purposes for the duration of the competitions will primarily be English, supported by Chinese interpretations where necessary.

1.2.2 Aircraft Categories

1.2.2.1 WAM events will be open to competitors:

- a) Using their own aircraft
- b) Using aircraft provided under agreement with WACL.

1.2.3 Number of Competitors

WAM events will normally comprise 10-20 competitors.

1.2.4 Entries

1.2.4.1 Competitors selected by WACL and approved by CIVA must complete all relevant entry forms as specified and provided by WACL.

1.2.5 Competitors and aircraft

1.2.5.1 All flights carried out by competitors at WAM events must be made solo.

1.2.5.2 A contest aircraft may on the recommendation of the technical commission, and with the permission of the International Jury, be replaced at any time by another contest aircraft.

1.2.6 Aircraft Documentation

- 1.2.6.1 All competing aircraft must meet the performance characteristics required for the kind of flight they will be undertaking. A valid aerobatic certificate of airworthiness or equivalent document issued by the competent aviation authority of the aircraft's country of registration must be produced to the organisers for every aircraft participating.
- 1.2.6.2 Aircraft will not be admitted to the contest unless the appropriate documentation has been submitted to the organisers.

1.2.7 Air Safety

- 1.2.7.1 All competitors must observe and adhere to the regulations currently in force in the host country for air safety as well as the special regulations in force at the contest aerodrome. To facilitate this, the organiser must ensure that an English translation of applicable rules, issued by the Aviation or Customs Authorities of the host country, is available in advance in a bulletin or on the competition website.
- 1.2.7.2 Any violation of the safety regulations in force may at any time render the offender liable to exclusion from the contest. No responsibility will be undertaken by the organisers for any such violation by competitors or others.
- 1.2.7.3 To enable the pilot to watch over his or her own safety, an accelerometer must be installed in each competing aircraft.
- 1.2.7.4 The Chief Judge may, with the agreement of two-thirds of the Board of Judges, exclude a pilot who is not flying safely or could cause an unsafe situation. This would apply from take-off to touchdown.
- 1.2.7.5 Any competitor required to interrupt a competition flight due to danger of collision with conflicting air traffic or a bird should orbit in a safe place or if necessary land immediately until the conflict is resolved. In this event, the Chief Judge will communicate with the competitor and allow additional time if appropriate.

1.2.8 Insurance

- 1.2.8.1 The organisers will provide a promoter's liability insurance of value 25 million EUR against third party risks for the duration of the contest covering functional test flights, training and competition flights that must cover all pertinent legal requirements of the country in which the competition is held.
- 1.2.8.2 All competitors must produce evidence of adequate third party insurance of value 10 million EUR valid for the duration of the contest and valid in the organiser's country – see Appendix 1 of WAM Event Regulations.

1.3 Classification of Programmes

1.3.1 Programme Formats

- 1.3.1.1 The flight programmes at WAM events will be:
 - a) Programme 1: The Known Programme
 - b) Programme 2: The Unknown Programme



- c) Programme 3: The Freestyle Programme

1.3.2 **Winners and Awards**

Winners of flight programmes will be:

- a) **Winner in the Known and Unknown Programmes:**
The competitor who gains the highest aggregate of points in Programmes 1 and 2.
- b) **Winner in the Freestyle Programme:**
The competitor who gains the highest number of points in Programme 3.

- 1.3.2.1 Winners, second and third placings in the various programmes will be awarded Gold, Silver and Bronze medals and Diplomas of the FAI.
- 1.3.2.2 The Overall WAM event winner will be awarded the Gold Medal and Diploma of the FAI; the second and third placings will be awarded a Silver or Bronze Medal respectively and Diplomas of the FAI. The fourth and all lower placings will be awarded Diplomas of the FAI.
- 1.3.2.3 The organisers are recommended to give awards at WAM events to the Chief Judge, the Panel of Judges, and other key CIVA and/or local officials at the event.

1.4 **Contest Bodies**

1.4.1 **The International Jury**

- 1.4.1.1 The International Jury is the supreme arbitration body of international aerobatic events and shall be responsible for:
- a) Interpreting the general rules, the judging rules and the general regulations of the contests;
- b) Supervising the activities of the Chief Judge and the Board of Judges; during judging, a member of the International Jury must not remain in the vicinity of the International Judges;
- c) Dealing with protests from competitors;
- d) Overseeing the administration of the contest.
- 1.4.1.2 For WAM events the International Jury chairman will be either a Delegate to CIVA or a qualified person nominated by the CIVA Bureau. Two other International Jury members shall be nominated by the chairman from appropriately qualified officials at the event. The Chief Judge, the Flight Director and the Event Director shall be advisers to it.
- 1.4.1.3 All three members of the International Jury must be available to hear appeals or protests submitted by competitors.
- 1.4.1.4 Any decision taken by the International Jury by majority vote is final.
- 1.4.1.5 The International Jury may temporarily vary any rules approved by the International Aerobatics Commission (CIVA) during a contest under the following circumstances:
- a) There is simple majority within the International Jury, with no abstentions, when conducting a vote to introduce a temporary variation to the regulations, and



- b) There is at least a two thirds majority in agreement amongst the participating pilots, with no abstentions, when conducting a vote to introduce a temporary variation to the regulations.

1.4.1.6 In the event that the rules of the Sporting Code are not adhered to at a WAM event, or if the International Jury is not provided with sufficient information to perform their duties in accordance with the rules, the International Jury may stop the contest until matters are remedied. If remedial action is not taken, the International Jury has the power to declare that the requirements of a WAM event have not been fulfilled in accordance with the published regulations. If this latter action is taken, the International Jury shall prepare a full report for submission to the next meeting of CIVA at which their decision shall either be endorsed or reversed.

1.4.1.7 Meetings

The International Jury will:

- a) Attend the meetings of the International Board of Judges (dealing with familiarisation, evaluation, checking the marking sheets).
- b) If required, hold daily evaluation meetings (after the daily contest programme has been completed).
- c) Hold a final meeting to evaluate the activities of the International Jury and the development of the contest and for the chairman to prepare his report to CIVA.

1.4.1.8 Supervision

- a) The International Jury will:
- b) Directly supervise the International Board of Judges.
- c) Supervise the appointed Deadline Judge(s), and check the operation of the sighting device used by the Deadline Judge(s).
- d) Supervise the activities of the scoring office.
- e) Supervise the activities of the meteorological centre.
- f) Check the publication of contest results; make visits to the pilots' camp to gather or give information.
- g) Supervise briefings and the secret drawing of lots.
- h) Check the availability and correctness of medals and trophies at the beginning of the competition.

1.4.1.9 Mediation

The International Jury will:

- a) Discuss protests, if necessary in cooperation with the Chief Judge, the Flight Director and the Event Director.
- b) Take down on record the proceedings in meetings or activities where decisions are sought (e.g. a note of comments, resolutions, etc.). The International Jury will publish

the results of all protests and decisions. Meetings of the International Jury must not impede the progress of the contest.

- c) Hold explanatory discussions with competitors to explain measures taken by the Jury; interpretation of the FAI Sporting Code and all regulations. This should be done after completion of the daily competition flying or before the beginning of competition flying (i.e. in any case without impeding the progress of the contest).
- d) Control the Unknown Programmes including supervision, composition and explanation, as appropriate.

1.4.2 Protests

1.4.2.1 Protests will be accepted from individual competitors only. They will be dealt with in compliance with the FAI General Section of the Sporting Code, Chapter 5. All protests must be submitted to the President of the International Jury in writing, either directly or through a member of the jury, with a deposit, not later than two hours after the occurrence, decision or publication of results which causes the protest to be made. "Non-working" hours, as defined in local regulations for the World Air Games will not be counted. Every protest must refer to the rule or rules to which it relates. The amount of the deposit shall be stated in the local regulations. It should not exceed 100 USD.

1.4.2.2 The deposit will be returned if the protest is upheld. The International Jury may request the attendance of the protesting competitor if it considers this desirable. The decision of the International Jury is final.

1.4.2.3 Organiser's Responsibility

The organisers of international aerobatic events must provide the necessary material and technical conditions and the required number of staff in order to enable the International Jury to carry out its functions.

1.4.3 Board of Judges

1.4.3.1 Judging during WAM events will be carried out by an International Board of Judges nominated by CIVA.

1.4.3.2 Judges will be supported by one (1) Assistant each, nominated by CIVA.

1.4.3.3 The contest organiser shall provide accommodation, food and local transport to all Judges and their assistants.

1.4.3.4 At WAM events the International Board of Judges will be composed of:

- a) The Chief Judge, who throughout the event will be a scoring Judge;
- b) Between 3 and 5 International Judges each supported by one Assistant for marking the quality of aerobatic manoeuvres and their positioning.
- c) At least one and preferably two Deadline Judges for recording and validating violations of the prescribed event deadline.

1.4.3.5 The International Judges appointed for marking the quality of the manoeuvres will mark the manoeuvres and infringements of the lower and upper height limits in compliance with the judging rules.

1.4.3.6 The Assistants have the following tasks:

- a) Telling the Judge prior to the performance the sequence of the figures, details of the various figures, and any other special features.
- b) Recording the mark given by the Judge for each figure and when required recording any remarks concerning the rating. Any amendment of record must be signed by the Judge.
- c) Providing general assistance.

1.4.4 Contest Management

1.4.4.1 The contest management will be composed of:

- a) The Event Director
- b) The Flight Director
- c) The Chief Judge
- d) The Technical Commission

1.4.4.2 The Event Director (ED) will be a local WACL appointment responsible for managing event finance, infrastructure and domestic arrangements, the operation of the scoring systems and equipment, and the efficient administration and orderly performance of the contest.

1.4.4.3 The Flight Director (FD) is responsible for the management and presentation of the flying competition. The FD controls day to day aviation activities including liaison with local ATC and airfield services, daily briefings, management of the Technical Commission, safety and working with the Chief Judge to enable the completion and swift execution of all air-side movements, both on the ground and in the air.

1.4.4.4 The Chief Judge (CJ) is responsible for management of the judging panel, the determination of matters related to penalties and disqualifications, the timely output of accurate results, and oversight of flight safety in the performance area.

1.4.4.5 The Technical Commission (TC) will comprise two aviation qualified engineers selected on a rota basis from among those supporting aviation services at the competition, responsible to the FD for the rapid interpretation and resolution of technical issues affecting flight performances. An issue related to an aircraft for which one of the selected engineers is normally responsible will be the primarily responsibility of the other.

1.5 Final Regulations

1.5.1 Interpretation

1.5.1.1 International aerobatic events will be carried out in compliance with the published WAM/CIVA Regulations and local regulations of the organisers.

1.5.1.2 Any differences arising during an event will be dealt with by reference to the above rules. For the interpretation of the text at competitions, a standard version written in English shall be taken as the authority.



1.5.2 Competitors' Pledge

- 1.5.2.1 All competitors undertake, by signing the entry form, to comply with the General Section of the Sporting Code of the FAI, the Regulations of CIVA.



2 JUDGING RULES FOR WAM EVENTS

2.1 Control of Timing

2.1.1 Responsibilities of the Chief Judge

- 2.1.1.1 Recording programme interruptions and giving penalty points for such interruptions or for infringements of the lower and upper height limits, infringements of the performance zone and awarding penalties thereto will be carried out under the responsibility and control of the Chief Judge.

2.2 Deadline Judging

2.2.1 Deployment of Deadline Judge(s)

- a) The use of at least one Deadline Judge and preferably two is mandatory at WAM events.
- b) Deadline Judges shall be placed at both ends of the officially established deadline, or if only one then at the most advantageous end of the deadline for the purposes of accurately detecting deadline infringements.
- c) Competitors are not allowed to approach the deadline judge positions closer than 20m and in any case must not communicate with the deadline Judges.
- d) Deadline Judges will be equipped with aiming devices of sufficient accuracy and communications to enable immediate contact with the Chief Judge's workstation. Deadline infringements will be reported in real time and recorded at the Chief Judge's station.

2.3 Judging Administration

2.3.1 Collection of Marking Sheets

- a) The Judges grades will normally be received in 'real time' by the electronic scoring system in use at the event.
- b) If paper scoring sheets are used then immediately after a competitor has completed a competition flight and the judges have finished marking, the marking sheets will be collected for perusal at the Chief Judge's workstation. Once any required actions are taken (conference, penalties added, zeroes checked etc.) the marking sheets will be forwarded to the scoring officer for entry into the scoring system. The individual judges themselves must sign off any changes to their marking sheets.
- c) Once the electronically recorded marks or the paper marking sheets have left the Chief Judge's workstation, they come under the supervision and jurisdiction of the International Jury.

2.3.2 Publication of Results

- 2.3.2.1 The total results for each competitor in each of the competition flights shall always be available to the competitors in the agreed working language(s), prior to the start of the next programme.



2.3.3 Public Announcements

2.3.3.1 Prior to the take-off for and during the performance of a programme, details concerning the competitor concerned may be published by any means (radio commentary, etc.).

2.3.4 Protests, Decisions of International Jury, Confidentiality

2.3.4.1 All protests will be dealt with by the International Jury in cooperation with the Flight Director and the Chief Judge if their assistance is required. Decisions taken by the International Jury are final and must not be changed later. Judges are advised to keep activities of the Board of Judges and of the International Jury strictly confidential.

2.4 Evaluation of the Performance

2.4.1 Judges

2.4.1.1 Each programme of World Air Masters events will be marked by the Judges using a standardised system (see Appendix A).

2.4.1.2 Where the majority decision of the Panel of Judges is required, in a case of disagreement about the penalisation of the flight of a competitor, the Chief Judge shall have a casting vote in the event of a tie.

2.4.2 Marks awarded

2.4.2.1 The Judges will independently mark the quality of each figure and its components, marking with integer numbers 0 to 10 in intervals of 1 using the point reduction system for each element of a figure as described under paragraph 2.6. A Zero mark will be awarded if the figure is incorrect or missing.

2.4.2.2 Once horizontal flight path is established at the end of a figure in a sequence, the beginning of the next figure is considered to have occurred.

2.4.3 Calculation of Scores

2.4.3.1 The calculation of scores for a competitor's programme will be as follows:

- a) The raw marks given by a Judge are normally used without modification. The scoring system may however employ simple arithmetic or statistical means to identify any mark(s) that are unusually different to the marks awarded to the same figure by the other judges, and substitute a calculated replacement mark. Such substitutions will be confined to the affected figure and will have no effect on marks awarded to other figures.
- b) It shall be a duty of the organiser to arrange for the publication of the competition results in accordance with rule 2.5.3.3. The marks must be made available to the competitors and Contest Officials for information and/or checking before the start of the subsequent programme.

2.4.4 Video Recording

2.4.4.1 An official video recording shall be made from the Judges' position of every individual competition flight. This recording shall be available to the International Jury to assist their decision on any protests. The recording shall not be available to competitors, except in the clarification of a protest in conjunction with the International Jury and with their agreement.

The official recording shall also be available to the Chief Judge and the Board of Judges to assist their discussion on matters of fact.

- 2.4.4.2 After the completion of the competition, the recording may be released by the organisers for use in training.

2.5 The Penalty Point and Devaluation System

2.5.1 Infringements of Height Limits

- 2.5.1.1 A competitor flying a figure or part of a figure lower than 15m over datum, i.e. below the top of the beacons, will receive 70 penalty points for this figure. A competitor flying their programme lower than 10m over datum, i.e. below the lower edge of the upper 5m coloured section, will be disqualified for this programme. The height of the aircraft is deemed to be at the height above datum of the pilot's eyes.
- 2.5.1.2 In the case where the Judges assess the lower boundary, each Judge will note in writing a height infringement on his score sheet, independent of the other Judges; however, he continues to evaluate the figure being flown.
- 2.5.1.3 When infringements of the lower height limits are estimated by the judges, they will be penalised only if a simple majority has recognised the violation and duly recorded this on their marking sheets. In case the required simple majority could not rise from a vote within the Board of Judges, the Chief Judge shall have a casting vote.
- 2.5.1.4 The final decision as to whether a penalty should be applied rests with the Chief Judge.
- 2.5.1.5 The final decision as to whether disqualification should be applied for the programme or for the entire event rests with the Chief Judge and the agreement of the International Jury.

2.5.2 Infringements of the Deadline

- 2.5.2.1 All instances of a competitor crossing the Deadline shall be immediately reported by the Deadline Judge(s) to the Chief Judge and the Flight Director.
- 2.5.2.2 On receipt of a Deadline infringement report the Chief Judge and/or the Flight Director shall immediately contact the competitor by radio and instruct the pilot to return at once to the performance zone.
- 2.5.2.3 If the Chief Judge and/or the Flight Director consider the infringement sufficiently serious the competitor must instead be instructed cease his/her aerobatic performance and land immediately.
- 2.5.2.4 An instruction to land due to a deadline infringement may result in disqualification from either the programme or the entire event. The final decision on this matter shall be determined by the Chief Judge in consultation with the International Jury.

2.5.3 Programme Interruptions

- 2.5.3.1 If a pilot is compelled to change his direction or reposition the aircraft after a mistake or if too low or after an abandoned figure in order to resume the predetermined direction and has already received a Zero mark for that figure, no penalty points for an interruption will be subtracted.

2.5.4 Violation of Flight Regulations and Dangerous Flying

2.5.4.1 Competitors found guilty of violating flight regulations and/or causing a dangerous situation will, on the recommendation of the International Jury, be disqualified by the Flight Director. The Chief Judge may, with the agreement of two-thirds of the Board of Judges, disqualify a pilot who is not flying safely or could cause an unsafe situation. This would apply from take-off to touchdown.

2.6 Rules for the Marking of Figures

2.6.1 The mark for each figure

It is assumed by a Judge that a contestant is going to fly a perfect figure; therefore he/she starts with the grade of 10 for each figure and the judge downgrades this mark by fixed values as prescribed herein and by further values in conformity with the Judging Criteria in Appendix A.

2.6.1.1 The scores will be calculated by multiplying the coefficient (K) for each figure by the mark awarded to each one.

2.6.1.2 When marking the quality of the performance of individual figures, the Judges have to consider the following general principles:

- a) the geometry of the figures (including shape, radii, angles, plane of flight, direction of flight), which must be in compliance with the prescribed criteria;
- b) the precision of the performance, for which there are marking criteria set out in Appendix A;
- c) the distinctly recognizable start and finish of each figure with a horizontal line;
- d) the figure flown must be in accordance with the pre-stated figure in the original sequence;
- e) that in judging a figure which comprises a combination of manoeuvres, the marking criteria of its various components continue to apply, but the combined manoeuvres are to be taken as a unit;
- f) that the length of lines and the size of radii caused by the flying characteristics of an aircraft are not to be taken into account in the marking;
- g) that inverted parts of figures are judged by the same criteria as upright parts of figures.
- h) that on downward lines leading to exit from a figure, superimposed rolls may be flown early in the line to encourage a safe exit to horizontal flight and this shall not be subject to any line-length parity downgrade.

2.6.1.3 Once horizontal flight path is established at the end of a figure in a sequence, the beginning of the next figure is considered to have occurred.

2.6.1.4 If a judge misses seeing a figure, or any part of a figure, such that a grade cannot be given with full confidence, the Judge will give a mark of "Average" or "A" to that figure.

2.6.2 Downgrades

- 2.6.2.1 For deviations from the correct geometry (plane of flight, direction of flight, angle of bank) and for deviations from the proper flight path or the proper attitude (as appropriate), the mark will be reduced by 1 point per 5 degrees of deviation.
- 2.6.2.2 The absence of a distinct horizontal start and/or finish to a figure will reduce the mark by 1 point in each case for each figure affected.
- 2.6.2.3 If within a figure two or more lines have to be of the same length, the basis for judging is the first line flown. Any observed variation must be penalised by reducing the marks in accordance with Section 6 Part 1 paragraphs B.8.1.12 and B.8.1.13. Note however that the disparity in line lengths before and after superimposed rolls will not be considered a downgrade.
- 2.6.2.4 Over-rotating a roll and rolling the wings back again must be penalised by 1 point per 5 degrees of the over-rotation only, even if the correct geometry is resumed afterwards, no matter how quickly the correction is made. The same provisions apply when, at the end of a loop or part-loop, the aircraft is pitched beyond the desired line and then brought back again.
- 2.6.2.5 If the total of downgrades in this section leads to a value lower than the score of 1.0, a valid mark of 0.0 will be given to the figure.

2.6.3 The mark of Zero

- 2.6.3.1 A grade of Zero should be assigned if the judge considers that the figure is incorrectly flown in respect of a geometrical error, as listed below, which is clearly verifiable as a matter of fact. A grade of Zero will be appropriate if:
 - a) Any figure is flown which does not conform to the drawing held by the judges for marking purposes.
 - b) Any deviation from the prescribed direction reaches 90 degrees.
 - c) Any other single deviation in geometry/flight path/attitude/rotation reaches 90°.
 - d) The pre-stated figure or any part of it is omitted.
 - e) Any part of the figure was not visible as it was flown in or behind cloud. If the figure was visible to a majority of judges, then the Chief Judge should instruct unsighted judges to revise their mark from Zero to "A".
 - f) After a directional deviation of 90 degrees or more on the Y Axis, although it is non-directional, the original direction must be re-established before the next figure is flown. If figures subsequent to a Zero grade are correct and are flown in the correct direction, they shall be marked in the normal way.

2.7 Code of Practice for The Chief Judge and Board Of Judges at WAM events

2.7.1 The Chief Judge

- 2.7.1.1 The Chief Judge's primary concern should be the accurate and fair judging of the competition flights, including the monitoring of flights for Zero marks and penalties. He

should place his expertise at the disposal of the Board of Judges, and coordinate and guide their work.

- 2.7.1.2 The Chief Judge oversees administrative matters (correctness of paperwork, recording of penalties, etc.) and has an Assistant who will perform at least the following tasks along with other duties as requested:
- a) Calling the manoeuvres and recording the notes of the Chief Judge.
 - b) Processing and expediting the flow of paperwork.
 - c) Receiving and recording the calls of the Deadline Judges.
 - d) Handling all other radio communications.
- 2.7.1.3 The Chief Judge shall brief and direct a pilot nominated by the organisers to demonstrate the 'low' and 'disqualification' heights around the performance zone prior to the commencement of contest flying each day.
- 2.7.1.4 The Chief Judge is responsible for ensuring that there is enough time between flights for the judging to be unhurried. He should control (by radio) the flow from one contestant to the next.
- 2.7.1.5 At the end of each flight, the Chief Judge should ascertain whether any of the Judges have recorded a Zero mark, height penalty, interruption penalty. This will be done by perusal of the electronic marks gathering system, or of the score sheets collected from the judges prior to entry into the scoring system.
- 2.7.1.6 In the case of a difference of opinion with regard to a Zero mark, height penalty or interruption penalty, a judging conference will always be held to resolve differences. The official video shall be available to assist in such discussions when it concerns a matter of fact.
- 2.7.1.7 During such a conference a Judge may request a viewing of the video, and this may not be refused by the Chief Judge.
- 2.7.2 The Judges**
- 2.7.2.1 A Judge may only reconsider his marks as long as his score sheet is still in his possession or if asked to do so at the request of the Chief Judge. Once entered into the scoring system, the scoring sheet comes under the jurisdiction of the International Jury. The judge himself must sign off any changes on the score sheet.
- 2.7.2.2 Judges must record as many comments on the score sheets as possible, with particular reference to significant errors. They may make these comments in any language or form, provided that they are able to explain the comments if required.
- 2.7.2.3 A judge will not make improper communication to third parties by means of cell phone, radio, or other device whilst on the judging line or during breaks/lunches.
- 2.7.2.4 All paperwork supplied to the Board of Judges except that specifically prepared for use by the Chief Judge and the video operator should have all references to the pilots' identity removed.



3 THE ORGANISATION OF WAM EVENTS

3.1 Administrative Arrangements

3.1.1 Accommodation, Food, Medical Services

3.1.1.1 At WAM events the organisers will provide adequate accommodation and food for the duration of the event to all members of official teams, competitors, officials and other assistants for whom entry fees have been paid.

3.1.1.2 The organisers will be responsible for adequate medical services being available to all official participants.

3.1.2 Technical Services

3.1.2.1 The organisers will provide technical assistance and hangarage for competing aircraft, if required.

3.1.3 Interpreters

3.1.3.1 Interpreters for the official language(s) of the contest, working together with the International Jury and the Board of Judges, will be provided by the organisers. The official language will be English.

3.1.4 Briefings

3.1.4.1 Prior to the beginning of competition flights on each competition day, a briefing will be held for competitors, Officials, Judges, and the International Jury on organisational matters, concerning the competition day, meteorological conditions, etc. The briefing should last no longer than 30 minutes, and should cover at least the following basic elements:

- a) All officials should be introduced, by name and responsibility.
- b) The importance of safety, with particular respect to the extent of the usable performance zone, the maximum and minimum allowed heights, and the signing of the daily Contestants Declaration Form.
- c) A clear description of the performance zone, the location and direction of the main axis, and the deadline or crowd line that must not be crossed.
- d) The local current and expected weather, as it affects the planned flying.
- e) Local facilities that all competitors and officials may use.
- f) Emergency response actions for everyone.
- g) The safety RT frequency to be used, the procedures for flight authorisations, recalls etc.
- h) Start-up, taxi, hold and release procedures by radio and flag.
- i) The order of flight.
- j) Questions / AOB.
- k) Time check.



3.1.5 Training Flights

- 3.1.5.1 Each competitor at WAM event will be given the opportunity to make training flights of the Known Programme 1 over the performance zone for familiarisation with the local conditions.
- 3.1.5.2 There will normally be two days allotted for training sessions which must be completed prior to the formal opening of the event.
- 3.1.5.3 Training flights are subject to the same safety regulations and minimum heights as contest flights, and will be conducted according to a starting list produced by the organiser.
- 3.1.5.4 For Training flights, Visual Flight Rules (VFR) of the organising country must be observed, but the stated contest weather minima need not be fulfilled.
- 3.1.5.5 No further training flights are allowed after the start of the competition. The International Jury may authorise additional familiarisation flights after the opening of the contest for weather or other compelling reasons.
- 3.1.5.6 If it is necessary for purposes of media coverage, competitors may be authorised to fly a demonstration programme which must be approved by the International Jury, the Chief Judge and a two-thirds majority of the competitors.

3.1.6 Timing of Programme 1 training flights

- 3.1.6.1 During the training flights the Event Director shall make timing observations of all Programme 1 flights to ascertain a reasonable minimum time for completion of this programme. This time will be used to set the 'Target Time' for the completion of Programmes 1 and 2 by each competitor during the judged performances.

3.1.7 Sequence of Flights (Drawing of Lots)

- 3.1.7.1 The sequence of flights for all Programmes will be determined by drawing of lots to be arranged by the Event Director or his Assistant, in the presence of a representative of the International Jury. Each competitor will draw his or her own lot.
- 3.1.7.2 The order of pilots determined by lot may be altered with the approval of the International Jury if special circumstances require (e.g. use of the same aircraft by different competitors). After any drawing of lots, the first competing pilot should have an allowance of one hour between drawing of lots and taking off.

3.2 Operating Regulations

3.2.1 Video/Audio Devices

- 3.2.1.1 Video cameras may be mounted in/on competition aircraft.
- 3.2.1.2 The use of technical devices to convey audible or visual information to the pilot is not permitted during International Aerobatic Contests, except for standard air-ground radio sets. Radios should be set to the official safety frequency which is published in the local regulations. The safety frequency is used only to convey urgent competition or flight safety instructions.
- 3.2.1.3 Once each pilot is airborne he/she is not allowed to enter the Performance Zone before two-way communication is established with the Chief Judge. The Chief Judge will call the

competitor on the safety frequency, saying: “Number x, radio check”. If the pilot does not receive this call, after a reasonable time and visually checking that no other aircraft is flying in the Performance Zone, he/she should call the Chief Judge on the safety frequency and state, “Number x, radio check.” The Chief Judge must respond to this call if he hears it. If two-way communication is not established, the pilot must land immediately.

3.2.1.4 The standard phraseology in the event that a break is required for safety reasons will be the Chief Judge or the Flight Director saying “Stop, stop, stop”. The pilot must immediately stop aerobatic manoeuvring and return to upright level flight, and the CJ or FD will provide instruction as circumstances require. A pilot who fails to comply with any of these instructions from the Chief Judge or the Flight Director shall be liable to disqualification from that Programme.

3.2.2 **Meteorological Conditions**

3.2.2.1 Competition flights will be carried out between sunrise and sunset at the place of the competition. If weather conditions deteriorate within this time, the International Jury, in consultation with the contest management, will decide upon the start and finish of competition flights.

3.2.2.2 The following meteorological conditions must be met:

- a) The performance zone must be free of clouds.
- b) The flight visibility, determined with reference to ground features from the midpoint of the performance zone, must be a minimum of 2km.
- c) The maximum permissible average wind speed in the performance zone should be 15m/s.
- d) The performance zone must be free of precipitation.

3.2.2.3 The Event Director provides the competitors, the Chief Judge, the Board of Judges, and the International Jury with regular information on weather conditions at hourly or shorter intervals if required by meteorological development. The information on wind speed and direction will be published on a board at the flight line, and must include the time of the measurement as well as the publication time.

3.2.2.4 Under stable weather conditions adequately meeting the above conditions, hourly information is not required. The International Jury will decide upon the necessity of providing this information.

3.2.2.5 The International Jury shall provide the Official Wind Direction to the Chief Judge and the Event Director before the start of each contest day and at any time it is determined that the Official Wind Direction must be changed. The decision with regard to the Official Wind Direction, always aligned with one of the performance zone axes, must take into account the predominant direction of the actual prevailing wind.

3.2.2.6 The Flight Director, after consultation with the International Jury, will discontinue competition flights if meteorological conditions deteriorate below the specified minima. Such decision may be taken:

- a) If the visibility is judged independently by members of the International Jury, the Chief Judge, the Flight Director or competitors to be below the minimum.
- b) If there are clouds or precipitation in the performance zone.

3.2.3 Competition Flights


- 3.2.3.1 Pilots are encouraged to use smoke throughout all programmes, except where in their opinion the performance is enhanced by discontinuing the smoke for short periods.
- 3.2.3.2 The organisers of WAM events must establish an efficient radio or telephone communication system between the Contest Officials (Event Director, President of the International Jury, Chief Judge, Flight Director and all Deadline Judges) in order to supervise the running of the contest and the contest rules.

3.2.4 Height Infringements

- 3.2.4.1 For an infringement of the safety height of 10m (over datum) which is coincident with the lower edge of the coloured upper part of each beacon, the competitor will be disqualified for the current programme – see para 2.5.1.

3.2.5 Performance Zone

- 3.2.5.1 The performance zone will be a rectangular area 400m wide by 1000m long with two or three inflatable beacons. A typical example would be as follows:



- 3.2.5.2 The beacons will be 15m high with the upper 5m of each one marked in a different contrasting colour of red or blue or green.
- 3.2.5.3 Flight below the coloured upper segment of any beacon at any time will result in that competitor being disqualified from the programme. In this matter the Chief Judge will consult all members of the judging panel, and his decision will be final.
- 3.2.5.4 Marking of flight positioning and symmetry during all flights will be carried out by the Board of Judges in accordance with Section 6 Part 1 paragraph 4.1.5.

3.3 Programmes for WAM events

The event consists of the following three programmes:

- a) Known Programme 1

- b) Unknown Programme 2
- c) Freestyle Programme 3

The above sequence of programmes is mandatory. Any changes due to weather or other compelling reasons must be authorised by the International Jury.

3.3.2 Known Programme 1

3.3.2.1 The sequence for this programme will be designed by WACL in conjunction with CIVA.

3.3.2.2 A 'Target Time' will be set for execution of the programme during the pre-event training sessions, and a penalty of 5 points per second will be applied to competitors who complete the programme with a total time less or greater than this target time.

3.3.2.3 For graphic presentation of aerobatic sequences CIVA allows the use of two different formats: Forms "A", "B" and "C" or Forms "L" and "R". Both Visio-Aresti and OpenAero software systems produce sequence forms in either format. Each judge decides which of both types they want to use. The Chief Judge passes a consolidated decision list on to the Organizer for sorting out the paperwork.

- a) Form "A" is a table showing all figure symbols, catalogue numbers, K-factors and Super Family numbers.
- b) Form "B" is a graphic depiction of the continuous sequence as it would be flown with the wind blowing from right to left, plus a table listing the figures, their catalogue numbers and K-factors.
- c) Form "C" depicts the continuous sequence as it would be flown with the wind blowing from left to right.
- d) Forms "L" and "R" show the sequence diagram and all required data with the wind from either left or right on one sheet each.

3.3.2.4 The organisers will be responsible for reproducing a sufficient number of copies of competitors' sequences to meet the contest requirements.

3.3.3 Unknown Programme 2

3.3.3.1 The sequence for this programme will be designed by WACL in conjunction with CIVA. The same presentation comments apply per programme 1.

3.3.4 Design of Programmes 1 and 2

3.3.4.1 Known and Unknown Programmes

- a) One Known and one Unknown sequence at each event will be designed by WACL in conjunction with CIVA.
- b) At the start of each performance the competitor must pass Beacon 1 at a height between the top of the beacon and twice this height. If the aircraft does not pass the beacon before the 'pull' commences or the altitude of the aircraft is judged to be more than twice the height of the beacon then judges should award a height penalty.
- c) There may be other points in the sequence that will be shown on the diagram of figures where the competitor must again pass a specific beacon at a height between the top of the beacon and twice this height before 'pulling' up for the next figure. If the competitor


fails to pass the beacon before commencing the 'pull' into the next figure or the altitude of the aircraft is judged to be more than twice the height of the beacon then judges should award a height penalty.

- d) The Known and Unknown sequences will comprise seven (7) set figures, each combining a base figure selected from Family 1 to 8 of the Aresti Catalogue (Condensed) with various Family 9 rolls added, followed by a single Freestyle figure of the contestants own design.
- e) The sequence diagram will clearly indicate
- f) Figure 7 will be designed to enable height to be gained prior to figure 8, and will be the one of the following base figures onto which net 180° or 360° rolls may be superimposed at only the indicated positions:

- i) A vertical line 1.1.1.5

- ii) A vertical 'S' 7.5.9.1

- iii) A 3-line 1.3.1.2 or 1.3.1.5



- g) Figure 8 must be a Freestyle 'tumble' in which the gyroscopic effect of the propeller is used combination with the normal flight controls to produce rotations in pitch, yaw and roll, from which the aircraft must exit in a controlled manner to level erect flight at above the minimum altitude. The K-factor for figure 8 will be fixed at 25K.

3.3.5 Freestyle Programme 3

3.3.5.1 In the Freestyle programme each competitor is allowed a period of 3m 30s to 4m 0s timed from the third initial wing-rock to the third final wing-rock to show the judges the widest possible range of freestyle manoeuvring. All flight during this period will be graded by the Judges within the following headings:

- a) Technical merit -
 - i) Use of Many Different Areas of the Flight Envelope
 - ii) Exploitation of Aerodynamic Controls and Gyroscopic Forces
 - iii) The Clarity of Execution of Individual Manoeuvre Elements
 - iv) Wide Variety of Figures Flown on Different Axes and Flightpaths
- b) Artistic impression -
 - i) The Pleasing and Continuous Flow of Figures
 - ii) Contrasting Periods of Dynamic and Graceful Manoeuvres
 - iii) Presenting Individual Figures in their Best Orientation
 - iv) Placing Individual Figures in their Optimum Position
- c) Positioning -
 - i) Symmetry
 - ii) Use of the Performance Zone



- 3.3.5.2 A penalty of 10 points per second will be applied to flights that are timed to be less than 3m 30s or more than 4m 0s total duration from the third initial wing-rock to the third final wing-rock.
- 3.3.6 **Grading of the key areas**
- 3.3.6.1 The grading of Technical Merit, Artistic Impression and Positioning by each judge for each pilot must be carried out and submitted immediately following each flight, using the range 10 to zero to represent the Judges view of each area of the performance.
- 3.3.6.2 Judges should note that the scoring of this programme is entirely separate from that of Programmes 1 and 2, and may usefully be conducted in a 'relative' manner using the grades awarded to the first competitor as indicators for the grades awarded to later flights.
- 3.3.6.3 Judges should also bear in mind that the grade for the best performance(s) in each area cannot exceed 10, and thus all grades must be set within the range zero to 10.
- 3.3.6.4 It follows therefore that the grade awarded to an early performance perceived as excellent in one or more areas should be set with sufficient headroom to allow for an even better later performance to receive a higher grade

4 APPENDIX A

a. Figure design for WAM sequences

i) Rolling Elements on Known and Unknown Sequence Figures

- a) No rolls will be superimposed on the lower horizontal lines of any figure, which will be flown in a positive upright attitude.
- b) Each figure will commence with a positive pitching movement upwards from erect flight from the base level, and end with a positive pitching movement from downward lines to exit safely in erect flight at the horizontal base level.
- c) On the downward exit lines from each figure pilots should aim to complete all superimposed rolls early in the line to allow sufficient space to 'pull' gently and safely to reach the horizontal exit line. The disparity in line lengths before and after the rolls will not be considered a downgrade, as entitled by 0) .))□.

b. Judging Criteria for Known and Unknown Programme Figures

i) General Observations

- a) The basic judging principles applied at WAM events are the same as those specified by CIVA Sporting Code Section 6 part 1.
- b) The final mark awarded to a figure has many components, but first and most important in any mark is the geometry of the figure as compared to the true horizon and the performance zone axes. Geometry is derived from two distinctly different entities: flight path and attitude.

ii) Exceptions from standard CIVA classic Judging Criteria

- a) At WAM events the following exceptions apply to Programmes 1 and 2:
 - The figure grading of all figures will be carried out using the integer numbers 0 to 10 and not the half mark steps that are normal at classic CIVA events.
 - The Hard Zero (HZ) and Perception Zero (PZ) are not used. Figures that would be awarded an HZ or a PZ in classic CIVA Aresti judging will be graded zero (0) at WAM events.
 - On downward exit lines from any figure the Judges will disregard any imbalance between pre and post roll line lengths, i.e. this is not a downgrade issue.
 - In both the Known and Unknown Programmes figure 8 will be a Freestyle gyroscopic 'tumble' as described by para 3.3.4.1g). This figure should be marked on a purely subjective basis with for example 10 awarded for an excellent and well controlled complex figure and zero if the figure is not seen to achieve a gyroscopic outcome, or does not exit under a satisfactory level of control.

