

IPC JURY NOTICE

litie & Location of Competition
FAI Mondials Chicago 2016, September 10-21 -22th FS / 11th AE / 1st SP / 17th CF / 34th Freefall Style+Acc / 8th Junior FS+Acc
IPC Jury Meeting No: -8-
Time and Date: 16.25, 13. Sept. 2016
Subject: - Protest received from Team Leader Qatar Canopy Formation (2way, 4way Sequential)
Details:
When the Team Leader of Qatar, represented by the Team Coach, presented the Protest, he stated, that it is not a protest against Judging but against the interpretation of CF Competition Rule 2.2 (Definition of a Grip: Foot Hook) and the influence of the CJ over the Judges.
During the Presentation, the Jury President made the Team Captain aware of
SC Section 5, 5.3.1 (5): No protest may be made nor shall one be accepted by the Jury that deals with the evaluation of a jump or a score given by the Judges.
In the Protest they clearly ask for a re-evaluation of 2 Way and 4 Way Sequential dives.
After further investigation and interviews with CJ, EJ's and the Chair of the CF Committee and the FAI Controller, the Jury came to the conclusion, that this Protest cannot be accepted in accordance with
SC Section 5, 5.3.1(5)
Decision:
The Protest and the Protest Fee were handed back to the Team.
Signature: Doris Merz Jury President
Date:13/9/2016 Time:20.00

Riceived 16.25

16:18 The is Wenge MOST DIRECTOR

Protest of the interpretation of rule 2.2 Grip

TEAM 2007 Qatar 2 Way Sequential and FEAM 2101 Qatar 4 Way Sequential

All Rounds 09-13-2016

09-12-2016 Round 1

While at the CF competitors briefing on 11 Sept 16 the chief judge, Bernard, declined to clarify any rules when ask by the competitors for clarification.

Once the 2 way sequential event started we noticed an inconsistent scoring based on the current rules. The Qatar team manager (accompanied by the team coach) lodged a complaint with the chief judge and was told that everyone should know what a grip is since the chief judge had clarified it in Bosnia in 2014. The team coach told him not everyone was in Bosnia and the chief judge stated that all the "main" players were, and because of that there was no need to clarify it at the competitors meeting. The chief judge then went on to say that a grip is taken with a "hooked" or curved surface, and that surface must rotate around the line and hold it for a certain amount of time. When asked how long, the chief judge said "just a small moment of time but a long amount of time was not needed."

As per the canopy formation competition rules 2016: 2.2 Grip: Consists of a hand hold or a foot hook on an "A" line or front riser so that a formation is built in accordance with the configurations as depicted in the dive pool.

There is nothing written about a hook needing to wrap around the line or that a hook needs to be held for any amount of time as the chief judge stated during our complaint.

Due to this incorrect interpretation we respectfully request that all our rounds be re-judged with the correct interpretation as written in Rule 2.2.